CONSUMERS ILLINOIS WATER COMPANY

OFFICIAL FILE

Illinois Commerce Commission C.C. DCCKFT NC 00.0337-0339

Docket No. 00-0339

Witness No Los To

State of Illinois)

)ss:

County of Vermilion)

Date III Noo reporter for

AFFIDAVIT OF MARK NIEDENTHAL

Mark Niedenthal, being first duly sworn, on his oath states:

- 1. My name is Mark Niedenthal. I am the Cellulose Plant Support Engineer for Devro-Teepak, Inc. having its principal place of business at 915 N. Michigan Avenue, Danville, Illinois. I have been asked by Devro-Teepak, Inc. to testify in this proceeding on its behalf. My testimony and exhibit were filed under the name of Mark Niedenthal.
- 2. Attached hereto and made a part hereof for all purposes is my testimony consisting of Pages 1 through 6, inclusive, and Exhibit 1A, all of which were prepared in written form for introduction into evidence in Illinois Commerce Commission Docket No. 00-0339 on behalf of intervenor Devroteepak, Inc..
- 3. I hereby swear and affirm that the answers contained in my testimony are true and correct, and that the attached Exhibit was prepared under my supervision and direction and truly and accurately represents the matters testified to and exhibited herein.

MARK NIEDENTHAL

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 13 day of November, 2000.

Torelei M. Dlacktac NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission expires: $\frac{4/10/2007}{}$

"OFFICIAL SEAL"
LORELEI M. BLACKFORD
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF ILLINOIS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 4-10-2001

STATE OF ILLINOIS

ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

CONSUMERS ILLINOIS WATER

COMPANY

: NO: 00-0339

Proposed general increase in water and sewer rates.

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MARK NIEDENTHAL

on behalf of

DEVRO-TEEPAK, INC.

John P. Meyer Law Offices of John P. Meyer P. O. Box 774 Danville, IL 61832 217/443-0304

Christopher P. Meyer Dukes, Ryan, Meyer, Johnson & Freed 146 N. Vermilion Street Danville, IL 61832 217/442-0384

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF MARK NIEDENTHAL BEFORE THE ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION CONSUMERS ILLINOIS WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. _00-0339______

- Q. Please state your name and address.
- A. My name is Mark Niedenthal and my business address is 915

 N. Michigan Avenue, Danville, IL 61832.
- Q. By whom are you employed?
- A. I am employed by Devro-Teepak, Inc.
- Q. What is your position with Devro-Teepak, Inc.?
- A. My position at Devro-Teepak is Cellulose Plant Support

 Engineer with responsibilities mainly in the Utilities

 area.
- Q. What is your educational and work experience background?
- A. My educational background includes a Bachelors Degree in Mechanical Engineering which I received in 1976 from Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology in Terre Haute, Indiana. I have also taken numerous specific short courses to benefit me in my project work at Devro-Teepak. I have been a member of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers since my college days. Since 1980 I have been a member of the Danville Engineers Club and have served in all the offices.
 - My professional career began at FMC in 1976 designing and field testing specialized harvest equipment for the vegetable packing industry.
- Q. What has been your work experience with Devro-Teepak?

In October, 1979, I was hired by Devro-Teepak, then A. Teepak, to work in the R&D Department on shirring (finishing) equipment. In 1982 I became part of the Maintenance Supervision staff in the Shirring Department and worked with Maintenance, Development and Plant Support in Shirring for several years. In the fall of 1990 I was assigned duties as the Plant Utilities Engineer. Since that time I have been in charge of projects involving steam boilers, condensate recovery systems, water softeners, electric and steam driven chillers, cooling towers, air compressors of various types, compressed air delivery systems, fire protection and alarm systems, air handling unites, heat exchangers, steam heated process dryers, asbestos removal, electrical service, heat recovery and energy management in various I routinely monitor the performance of the forms. boilers and schedules of the plant in order to make proper gas nominations to the broker, pipeline and local distribution company. I have also functioned as the contact person for the various utilities as well as our insurance carriers and inspectors. My duties also include responsibility for the contractor safety program.

Also, as part of my utilities responsibilities, I review the gas, water and electric bills monthly and compare them to the internally collected data and overlapping

- vendor reports. I have been involved in recent negotiations for gas and electric supply to our Danville Plant.
- Q. Are you familiar with the issues concerning supply of water to the Danville Plant?
- A. I am very familiar with the issues concerning supply of water to the Danville Plant and have been heavily involved with the development of those costs. I personally commissioned Mr. Art Berg to develop costs for installation of a pipeline from 333 E. Fairchild to 915 N. Michigan based on current local material and labor costs. I also commissioned Mr. Bruce Baughman of the Hennemn, Raufeisen & Associates to investigate the cost of installing the pumping and treatment facility and the operating costs associated with it. Electrical costs are based on our current firm contract with Illinois Power.
- Q. Have you prepared an analysis of the costs projected for installing and maintaining water wells on Devro-Teepak property for supplying water to the Danville Plant?
- A. Yes. I have prepared such an analysis which is contained in Devro-Teepak Exhibit 1A.
- Q. I now show you a document consisting of 4 pages and marked for identification as Devro-Teepak Exhibit 1A and ask if you prepared this document and how it was prepared.
- A. Yes, I did. In the last general rate increase case filed

by Consumers Illinois Water Company, I presented such a financial analysis and I used it as my guide by updating it for presentation in this docket with the information provided by the consultants mentioned previously.

After all this, I put together my Exhibit 1A which includes the capital project economic analysis prepared by Buranapong Linwong, Corporate Controller of Devro-Teepak.

- Q. Would you briefly summarize the result contained by your development of Exhibit 1A?
- A. Exhibit 1A clearly indicates that a 2.5% water rate increase would yield to Devro-Teepak a return on investment of their proposed well water system of 17.6% with a 7.6 year payback.
- Q, Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes, it does. I will, of course, answer any questions anyone has regarding Exhibit 1A.

Devro-Teepak Exibit 1A

CAPITAL PROJECT ECONOMIC ANALYSIS

(pg 1 of 4)

17-Mar-00 02:10 PM

Danville	Well	Water	Plant

CPA# Engr Est															(in \$000's)
COSTS V BENEFITS ANALYSIS	Qty	\$/Unit/Year	Escl/Year	2001	2002	2003	2004	2006	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	<u>2011</u>	Total
Added Electricity - kws	867,240	\$0.0395	0.0%	(\$34)	(\$34)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$33)	(\$365)
Added Maintenance Base ~		2% - 5%	of invest	(29)	(29)	(29)	(36)	(44)	(51)	(58)	(65)	(73)	(73)	(73)	(560)
Organic Coagulants		\$4,500	3.0%	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(5)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(6)	(58)
Sodium HypoChlorite		\$14,688	3.0%	(15)	(15)	(16)	(16)	(17)	(17)	(18)	(18)	(19)	(19)	(20)	(188)
Carbon Filter Bed Replacement		\$17,100	3.0%	Ò	o	. 0	0	(19)	(20)	(20)	(21)	(22)	(22)	(23)	(147)
Sand Filter Bed Replacement		\$6,970	3.0%	٥	0	O	0	. 0	(8)	(8)	(9)	. 0	0	0	(25)
Depreciation		• - • - •		(229)	(412)	(330)	(264)	(211)	(169)	(135)	(135)	(135)	(135)	(135)	(2,289)
Savings: @ Curr Rate	420,000	\$1,0500	0.0%	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	441	4,851
Savings of Proposed Increase		2.5%		0	11	11	22	22	34	34	46	46	58	58	342
Project Expenses				0											0
, tologe Exhauses								•••••							
Pretax income (Expenses)				\$130	(\$43)	\$40	\$109	\$135	\$172	\$197	\$200	\$200	\$211	\$ 20 9	\$1,561
Income Taxes		@	38.0%	(49)	16	(15)	(42)	(51)	(65)	(75)	(76)	(76)	(80)	(80)	(593)
, magnitude 1 = 122		_	ļ.												
Net Income (Expenses)			1	\$80	(\$27)	\$25	\$68	\$84	\$107	\$122	\$124	\$124	\$131	\$130	\$968

CASHFLOW ANALYSIS			2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
Initial Investment - Land Additional Capital Investment			(\$65) (2,289)	0	O :	, jo,	0	0	0	0	Q	0	0	(\$65) (2,289)
Capital Premium/ITC Depreciation			0 229	0 412	0 330	264	211	0 169	0 135	0 135	0 135	0 135	0 135	2,289
Tax Effected Residual Value Net Income (Expenses)		\$1,065	80	(27)	Fradein Value 25	- TaxRate 68	'{Tradein - (U 84	inrecovered 107	Fixed Asset))] 124	124	131	659 130	659 968
Total Net Cash Flow			(\$2,045)	\$385	\$354	\$332	\$295	\$276	\$257	\$259	\$259	\$266	\$924	\$1,562
Accumulated Cash Flow Years to Payback	(months in 1st year =	12)	(\$2,045) 1.0	(\$1,660) 1.0	(\$1,305) 1.0	(\$974) 1.0	(\$679) 1.0	(\$404) 1.0	(\$146) 1.0	\$113 0.6	\$372 0.0	\$638 0,0	\$1,562 0.0	

INDICATORS	_		
DCF-IRR =		10.9%	
Pretax Return on Investment		17.6%	
Payback - (cash flow basis)		7.6	years
NPV @Pretax Interest	9.50%	\$525 M	

ADDITIONAL INVESTMENT		2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	Total
Wells & Pumps Water Main 10" - 14,500 ft Backflow Prevention Well Water Treatment Station Contingency	10.0%	\$282 707 57 1,036 208											\$282 707 57 1,036 208
Total Additional Capital Investment Project Expenses		\$2,289 0	\$0 0	\$0 0									\$2,289 0
Total Additional Investment		\$2,289	\$0	\$0							···		\$2,289

Devro-Teepak Exibit 1A

(pg 2 of 4)

\$2,354,370

=======

17-Mar-00 02:06 PM

Capital and Operating Estimates
Devro-Teepak Proposed Well Water Plan

	Devro-Teepak Proposed Well Water Plant		
		Engr 2000 Es	it
		Capital Costs	
A. Land Ac	equisition		-
A. Lana A.	Done in 1989	\$65,000	f
	Botto III 1886	440,000	•
B. Water W	Vells		
D. Hater I	Two 120 ft. wells and well pumps	\$190,000	
	Well pump house	54,000	
	Head piping and valves	21,100	•
	Electrical	16,600	
	Licotifical		
	Subtotal	\$281,700	
C Mater M	lain to Plant - 10" Pipeline		
C. Water iv	14,500 ft Class 51 ductile iron pipe, fittings	\$206,642	
1.2	distribution line, and polywrap	Ψ200,0-12	
	Gravel bedding	30,458	f
		109,358	
	Street, bridge and railroad crossing	210,896	
5 2 D	Pipeline installation	68,488	
	Cleanup, flushing, testing and sterilization		
	Engineer design and drafting	46,800	
	Surveying, permits, insurance, and railroad	. 34,280	."
	inspection/protection		
	Subtotal	\$706,922	
D. Backflow	w prevention valve and structure		
D. Dackilov	Building	\$38,327	f
	Valves and meters	10,056	
		8,200	
	Engineering design	0,200	•
	Subtotal	\$56,583	
ρω	-to-T-returned Chatian		
E. Weil Wa	ater Treatment Station		
	Flow 800 gpm design/1200 gpm Max		
	Pressure sand and activated carbon filters,		
	oxidation tank, blower, interconnecting piping,	00.40.050	
	control panel, instrumentation, and filter media	\$642,050	
	Pre engineered building and foundation (70'x40x20)	155,000	
	Equipment installation and start up	156,600	
	Engineering, design, and drafting	82,415	Ţ
	Subtotal	\$1,036,065	,

F. Conting	ency 10.0%	\$208,100) f

Estimated Total Installation Costs

Devro-Teepak Exibit 1A

Capital and Operating Estimates
Devro-Teepak Proposed Well Water Plant

(pg 3 of 4) 17-Mar-00 02:06 PM

	Carbon					Sand	Waste Water	
<u>Year</u>	filter bed	Maint.	Org Coag	Na Hypochl	Electricity	<u>filter bed</u>	<u>Treatment</u>	<u>Total</u>
Construction (& start up				The second section			
2001	\$0	\$29,079	\$4,500	\$14,688	\$34,256	\$0	\$1,486	\$84,009
2002	0	29,079	4,635	15,129	34,256	0	1,531	84,629
2003	0	29,079	4,774	15,582	32,955	0	1,576	83,967
2004	0	36,349	4,917	16,050	32,955	0	1,624	91,895
2005	19,246	43,618	5,065	16,531	32,955	0	1,673	119,088
2006	19,824	50,888	5,217	17,027	32,955	8,080	1,723	135,714
2007	20,418	58,158	5,373	17,538	32,955	8,323	1,774	144,540
2008	21,031	65,427	5,534	18,064	32,955	8,572	1,828	153,412
2009	21,662	72,697	5,700	18,606	32,955	0	1,882	153,503
2010	22,312	72,697	5,871	19,165	32,955	0	1,939	154,939
2011	22,981	72,697	6,048	19,739	32,955	0	1,997	156,417

Projected operating cost inflation of all items except maintenance & energy = 3.0% per annum Maintenance Base* - mechanical installed equipment costs = \$1,453,944

*Maintenance base = total installation of Progressive percentage by year \$2,354,370 minus items marked with "f" and contingency. 2001 2.0% 2006 3.5%

pressive percentage by year	2001	2.0%	2006	3.5%
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	2002	2.0%	2007	4.0%
	2003	2.0%	2008	4.5%
**	2004	2.5%	2009	5.0%
	2005	3.0%	2010	5.0%

Devro-Teepak Exibit 1A

Estimated Annual Operating & Maintenance Cost Devro-Teepak Proposed Well Water Plant (pg 4 of 4) 17-Mar-00

02:25 PM

Operating Costs

Energy 99 kws x 8760 hr/yr X \$.0395/kwh (a)

\$34,256

Maintenance (see progressive estimate)

see Exhibit 1A pg 3 of 4

Chemical & Supplies

Organic coagulants \$1.50/lb. x 3,000 lbs/yr

\$4,500

Na HypoChlorite \$.08/lbs x 183,600 lbs/yr

\$14,688

Carbon filter media replacement (b)

Carbon per unit (5 units)
Remove, replace, dispose
Total costs per unit

\$12,000 5<u>,100</u>

\$17,100

Replace one unit after fourth year operation, and one unit per year there after (five units).

Sand filter media replacement (b)

Sand per unit (3 units)
Remove, replace, dispose
Total costs per unit

\$3,170

3,800 \$6,970

Replace one unit after fifth year operation, and one unit per year thereafter (three units).

- a. Electrical costs based on current firm contract with Illinois Power Co.
- b. Estimated by Bruce Baughman, Henneman Rauffeisen & Assoc.